UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,193	05/12/2006	Shigeru Ichikawa	0943-0166PUS1	6727
2292 7590 06/05/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALLS CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			GORDON, BRYAN P	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/579,193	ICHIKAWA ET AL.			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BRYAN P. GORDON	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		<u> </u>			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not be a proper reply to the Office of N and N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply to the Office of N are placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on, but it does not placed as a proper reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on	<u></u> .			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed ar Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which places the			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See 6		mpt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.					
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated			
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	of \$ is due				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1		CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requallowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.	after the expiration of the period for reply.				
(b) I no controlled drawings have been received.					
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for seeking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:					
The examiner made a phone call to the applicants a been abandoned.	attorney on 21 May 2009 and the	attorney confirmed the case had			
/Walter Benson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2837					
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	w the holding of abandonment under 37 (CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			